

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/511,237	02/23/2000	Martin Zilliacus	017.37999X00	017.37999X00 3595	
7	590 07/29/2003				
Antonelli Terry Stout & Kraus LLP			EXAMINER		
1300 North Seventeenth Street Suite 1800			GREENE, I	DANIEL L	
Arlington, VA	22209		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3621	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/511,237	ZILLIACUS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel L. Greene	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avainal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica atimely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a places the application	in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for the shortene	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	MPEP te extension ate extension e action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· <i>, ,</i> —	· 	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	12.	
10. Other:		JAMES P. TBAMMETA PERVISORY PATENT EXAM PERVISORY CENTER 3	Miliar
	50	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3	000

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has amended his claims to show that the method of communications between participating parties is via a cellular telephone network. The Applicant further argues that using a cellular telephone network renders the system unique over the prior art. The Examiner disagrees because if one was to use the logic that the form/style of communications would reneder an Application unique over other forms/style of communications then a plethora of patents could be issued for the same system/method that each used different forms/style of communications. i.e. non-cellular, pagers,, morse code, etc.An Examiner must look at the concept being implemented and determine if the concept is in fact unique and original. The method of ordering, paying for and delivering goods or services as presented by the prior art addresses the concepts presented by the Applicant.